Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/577,008 | SUZUKI ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Olga N. Chernysnev | 1649 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>05 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed form. | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec | ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Col | | PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | • | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Olga N. Chernyshev/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1649 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 10, as currently presented, encompasses a novel concept of diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease by measuring the level of co-localization of peptides, which raises issues of 112, first paragraph..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for consideration has been fully considered. Applicant submits that claim 10 "has been amended in a manner believed to be suggested by the Examiner", p. 4 of the Response. However, as fully explained in the previous communications of record, see Papers mailed on October 05, 2009 and January 27, 2010, "the specification fails to provide any support and guidance as how to practice the method as claimed because it is limited to demonstration of colocalization of Alcadein and APP in the brain of AD patients and absence of such colocalization in normal control samples". Claim 10, as currently presented, encompasses measurement of levels of colocalization of peptides/polypeptides in the brain, which is different from detection of presence or absence of these markers.

All rejections are maintained for the same reasons of record as directed to the previously presented claim limitations. .

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant is advised that the submission of the sequence listing on March 05, 2010 fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 through 1.825. Specifically, there is no substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of a "Sequence Listing" which includes all of the sequences that are present in the instant application and encompassed by these rules, and no statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 (e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). Applicant is further advised to review the content of the instant specification, as originally filed, for possibility of amendment so that it complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(d) which requires a reference to a particular sequence identifier (SEQ ID NO:) be made in the specification and claims wherever a reference is made to that sequence. See MPEP 2422.04.. See also notice to comply attached..